	Case 1:23-cv-01214-JLT-SAB Documer	nt 61 Filed 05/01/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	THOMAS K. MILLS,	No. 1:23-cv-01214-JLT-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
13	v.	FOR STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
14	ZACHERY JONES, et al.	(ECF No. 58)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action filed pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for a stipulated protective order, filed	
20	April 29, 2024. (ECF No. 58.) Defendants filed an opposition on April 30, 2024. (ECF No. 59.)	
21	Plaintiff contends that "[d]iscovery in this action is likely to involve production of	
22	confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection may be warranted.	
23	Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following stipulated	
24	protective order." (ECF No. 58 at 2-3.) Defendants object to latter sentence as a	
25	misrepresentation to the Court, by Plaintiff, under penalty of perjury. Defendants submit that	
26	there has never been a discussion between the parties regarding a protective order. (ECF No. 59,	
27	Declaration of Matthew R. Stohl (Stohl Decl.) \P 2.) Nor did Defendants agree to a protective	
28	order or advise Plaintiff he could make such representation to the Court on their behalf. ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$ ¶ 4.)	

Defendants proffer that should a protective order become necessary, they will meet and confer with Plaintiff at that time, but at the present time they request Plaintiff's motion be denied. (ECF No. 59 at 2.) Because Defendants did not stipulate the protective order submitted by Plaintiff, and there is no showing as to the necessity for a protective order, Plaintiff's motion is DENIED. Plaintiff is advised and cautioned to refrain from signing documents under penalty of perjury unless all of the statements included therein are true and correct. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b). Plaintiff is warned that any future misuse of the litigation process may result in sanctions. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(c). IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 1, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 1:23-cv-01214-JLT-SAB Document 61 Filed 05/01/24 Page 2 of 2